arXiv:1403.7887v1 [math.NT] 31 Mar 2014

FINDING ELLIPTIC CURVES WITH A PRESCRIBED SUBGROUP AND RATIONAL FUNCTIONS WITH SMALL IMAGE OVER FINITE FIELDS

IGOR E. SHPARLINSKI AND ANDREW V. SUTHERLAND

Abstract. Assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we design a deterministic algorithm that, given a prime p and positive integer $m \le p^{1/2}$, outputs an elliptic curve E over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ for which the cardinality of $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is divisible by m. The running time of the algorithm is $mp^{1/2+o(1)}$, and this leads to more efficient constructions of rational functions over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose image is small relative to q. We also give an unconditional version of the algorithm that works for almost all primes p, and give a probabilistic algorithm with subexponential time complexity.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Motivation.** Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. For an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$, we denote by $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the group of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on E, which we recall is a finite abelian group; see [3, 17, 39] for background on elliptic curves and basic terminology. We wish to consider the problem of explicitly constructing an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ for which

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m},$$

for a given integer m.

This problem naturally falls into the category of questions concerning the construction of elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ for which $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has a prescribed arithmetic structure. For example, motivated by cryptographic applications, many authors have considered the problem of finding elliptic curves over finite fields for which $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is prime; see [36] for an efficient probabilistic algorithm, conditional under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH).

A second motivation comes from one of the classical questions of the theory of finite fields: constructing rational functions with a small

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11G07, 11T06, 11Y16.

Key words and phrases. elliptic curve, divisibility, smooth numbers, prime quadratic residues.

image set. It has been shown that results of this type are of interest for certain cryptographic attacks; see [8, 9, 21, 22], for example.

If m divides $q-1$ then it is easy to see that the image of the function X^m has cardinality $(q-1)/m+1$, which is the best possible for a non-constant rational function. If m divides $q+1$ then Dickson polynomials [5, 12, 13] achieve an image of similar cardinality. But when $\gcd(m, q^2-1) = 1$ neither of these constructions gives a function whose image is significantly smaller than $\mathbb{F}_q$.

However, as observed by Cheon and Kim [9] (see also [21, Section 3.6]), if m divides $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then the m-division polynomials of an elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{F}_q$ can be used to construct a rational function over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose degree is approximately m^2 and whose image has cardinality approximately q/m. A remarkable feature of this result is that no arithmetic conditions on q are required.

As a possible third motivation, we note that elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose cardinalities are divisible by a given integer m that also divides $q-1$ play an important role in the construction of Anbar and Giulietti [2, Theorem 1], which has applications to finite geometry and coding theory.

Here we address the natural question of computationally efficient constructions of elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ divisible by m and design several algorithms to find such a curve.

1.2. **Naive approach.** Probabilistically, for $m = o(q)$ one can easily find an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ divisible by m in time $\widetilde{O}_q(m)$ by simply choosing curves at random. Here we use the $\widetilde{O}_q$ notation to indicate that we are ignoring factors of the form $q^{o(1)}$. That is, for $A > 0$ we write $\widetilde{O}_q(A)$ for a quantity bounded by $Aq^{o(1)}$. Note that this deviates slightly from the more common convention that $\widetilde{O}(A)$ stands for a a quantity bounded by $A(\log(A+1))^{O(1)}$.

For example, when q is prime to 6 we can simply choose random $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $4a^3 + 27b^2 \neq 0$, and then use Schoof's polynomial-time algorithm [34] to determine the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on the elliptic curve $E_{a,b}$ defined by the *Weierstrass equation*

$$E_{a,b}: \quad Y^2 = X^3 + aX + b. \tag{1}$$

If m divides $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ then we are done, and otherwise we may try again with another choice of a and b. Given that the distribution of $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over the central part of the *Hasse interval*

$$[q+1-2\sqrt{q}, q+1+2\sqrt{q}]$$

is not too far from uniform, we heuristically expect to find a suitable curve after $\widetilde{O}_q(m)$ such trials.

When q is prime this approach can be made rigorous via the result of Lenstra [25, Proposition 1.9] on the asymptotic uniformity of the number of Weierstrass equations that define isogenous elliptic curves; see Lemma 10 below. However, for large values of m, say $m \sim q^c$ for some $c \in (0, 1)$, this algorithm is inefficient.

Here we give more efficient solutions to a slightly modified problem. Given some real $M \geq 1$, we seek a pair (m, E) of an integer m and a curve E over $\mathbb{F}_q$ such that

$$\#E(\mathbb{F}_q) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \qquad \text{and} \qquad m \in [M, 2M].$$

Note that a naive approach to our modified problem involves

- generating random pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$;
- computing $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$;
- factoring $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$;
- checking whether $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has a divisor $m \in [M, 2M]$.

Using probabilistic subexponential-time factoring algorithms such as those given in [28, 32], this leads to an algorithm with the expected running time of the form $\exp\left((\log q)^{1/2+o(1)}\right)$. Note that for this approach to succeed one also has to show that there is a non-negligible proportion of integers N in the interval $[q + 1 - \sqrt{q}, q + 1 - \sqrt{q}]$ (or some similar interval) that actually have a divisor $m \in [M, 2M]$, and for which this divisor can be found efficiently; if N has many prime factors determining whether it has such a divisor m may be difficult. This can be achieved using an argument similar to that used in our proof of Theorem 1 below.

1.3. **Our results.** First, we use some ideas from [23], based on an algorithm of Lenstra, Pila, and Pomerance [26, 27] to show that a more efficient algorithm exists. Although the ideas work for arbitrary finite fields, we limit ourselves to the case of prime $q = p$. In fact, the only missing ingredient to extend our result to arbitrary q is a generalization of Lenstra's result on the distribution of $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given in Lemma 10 below. However, there is no doubt that this result holds for all finite fields, so we at least have a heuristic result in the general case.

Theorem 1. *For any fixed ε and a real M with $p^\varepsilon \leq M \leq p^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ there is a probabilistic algorithm that, given a prime $p > 3$ outputs an integer $m \in [M, 2M]$ and an elliptic curve $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $m \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in $\exp\left((\log p)^{2/5+o(1)}\right)$ expected time.*

The tools used in the proof of Theorem 1 allows us to replace the exponent $(\log p)^{2/5+o(1)}$ with a more precise expression involving explicit constants and double logarithms. However, we avoid this in order to simplify the exposition and minimize the technical details.

We also consider deterministic algorithms to solve the original problem of constructing an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ divisible by a given integer m. As a brute force approach, one can modify the probabilistic approach above by simply enumerating elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_q$ and computing $\#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in each case using Schoof's algorithm, but as explained in §2.2 below, this yields an algorithm that runs in $\widetilde{O}_q(q)$ time. Here we give an algorithm that, assuming the GRH, is more efficient than the brute force approach when $q = p$ is prime and $m = o(p^{1/2})$.

We assume henceforth that p always denotes a prime greater than 3.

Theorem 2. *Assume the GRH. There is a deterministic algorithm that, given a prime p and an integer $m = o(p^{1/2})$, ouputs an elliptic curve $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $m \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in time $\widetilde{O}_p(mp^{1/2})$*

Furthermore, there is an unconditional algorithm that achieves the same complexity for almost all primes p.

Theorem 3. *There is a deterministic algorithm that, for any sufficiently large real T, integer $m = o(T^{1/2})$, and all but $O(mT^{1/2}\log T)$ primes $p \in [T, 2T]$, ouputs an elliptic curve $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $m \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in time $\widetilde{O}_p(mp^{1/2})$*

2. Preparations

2.1. **Isomorphism and isogeny classes of elliptic curves.** Let us fix an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of $\mathbb{F}_p$. The $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-isomorphism class of the elliptic curve $E_{a,b}$ defined in (1) is uniquely determined by its *j-invariant*

$$j(E_{a,b}) = 1728\frac{4a^3}{4a^3 + 27b^2},$$

see [39]. Moreover, every $j \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is the j-invariant of some $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$; for $j \notin \{0, 1728\}$ we may take

$$a = 3j(1728 - j) \quad \text{and} \quad b = 2j(1728 - j)^2,$$

and for $j = 0$ (resp. 1728) we use $a = 0, b = 1$ (resp. $a = 1, b = 0$).

Each $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-isomorphism class of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$ may be decomposed into a a finite number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes.

For $j \notin \{0, 1728\}$ there are exactly two $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes in the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-isomorphism class determined by j, and they are *quadratic twists* (meaning that they are isomorphic over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$). For $j(E_{a,b}) \notin \{0, 1728\}$

and $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \backslash \mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}$, if we set $\widetilde{a} = d^2 a$ and $\widetilde{b} = d^3 b$, then $E_{a,b}$ and $E_{\widetilde{a},\widetilde{b}}$ represent the two $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism class with j-invariant $j(E_{a,b}) = j(E_{\widetilde{a},\widetilde{b}})$.

Provided that $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is not a quadratic or cubic residue, the set $\{E_{a^n,0} : n \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}\}$ contains representatives for all the $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with j-invariant 0; these $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes need not be distinct, it depends on the residue class of p mod 12, but there are at most 6 of them. Similarly, if $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is not a quadratic residue, then $\{E_{0,b^n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}\}$ contains representatives for all the $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes of elliptic curves with j-invariant 1728, of which there are at most 4.

It is easy to find $d \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \backslash \mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}$ probabilistically by applying Euler's criterion $d^{(p-1)/2} \equiv -1 \bmod p$ to randomly chosen $d \in \mathbb{F}_p$, but one can obtain such a d deterministically by simply enumerating $d \in [1, p-1]$ in order. Under the GRH this takes $\widetilde{O}_p(1)$ time; the famous result of Burgess [7] gives the unconditional bound $\widetilde{O}_p(p^{1/(4\sqrt{e})})$.

By a well-known theorem of Hasse, the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational points on an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ is of the form $p + 1 - t$, where t is an integer with absolute value at most $2\sqrt{p}$ equal to the *trace of Frobenius*. By a theorem of Tate, elliptic curves over a finite field have the same trace of Frobenius if and only if they are isogenous. Thus the Hasse bound implies that there are just $O(\sqrt{p})$ distinct isogeny classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$.

2.2. **Brute force approach.** The most straight-forward way to construct $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ divisible by m is to simply enumerate pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ with $4a^2 + 27b^3 \neq 0$ and compute $\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ using Schoof's algorithm [34]. This yields an algorithm that runs in $\widetilde{O}_p(p^2)$ time, but if we instead enumerate $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes, of which there are only $2p + O(1)$, we obtain an $\widetilde{O}_p(p)$ bound. This is accomplished by enumerating j-invariants $j \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and then enumerating representatives of the (at most 6) distinct $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes with the same j-invariant.

It is natural to suggest that an even better approach is possible via the enumeration of isogeny classes, of which there are just $O(\sqrt{p})$. Unfortunately we do not know an efficient way to enumerate representatives of these isogeny classes. However, the alternative approach we propose in § 2.4 is able to achieve an $\widetilde{O}_p(\sqrt{p})$ running time. In essence, we choose an isogeny class by choosing a trace of Frobenius $t \in [-2\sqrt{p},\ 2\sqrt{p}]$ for which m divides $p + 1 - t$ and for which we can efficiently construct a representative curve $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$; here we rely on the *CM method* for constructing elliptic curves over finite fields.

2.3. **Constructing elliptic curves with the CM method.** The theory of complex multiplication (CM) provides a standard method for constructing elliptic curves over finite fields whose group of rational points has a prescribed trace of Frobenius t (and hence a prescribed number of rational points), which we now briefly recall; we refer the reader to [10] for additional background.

Suppose $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$, and assume $p > 3$. If t is nonzero then E is an *ordinary* elliptic curve, and its endomorphism ring is isomorphic to the quadratic order $\mathcal{O}$ of discriminant $D = t^2 - 4p < 0$ in the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of the imaginary quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. The elliptic curve E is said to have *complex multiplication* (CM) by the order $\mathcal{O}$. The prime p and the integer t necessarily satisfy the *norm equation*

$$4p = t^2 - v^2 D.$$

We recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of $\mathbb{F}_p$-isomorphism classes of elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}$ and elements of the ideal class group $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{O})$; the cardinality of both sets is equal to the class number $h(D)$.

By the main theorem of complex multiplication, the ideal class group $\mathrm{cl}(\mathcal{O})$ is isomorphic to the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathcal{O}}/K)$, where $K_{\mathcal{O}}$ denotes the *ring class field* of the order $\mathcal{O}$. The field extension $K_{\mathcal{O}}/K$ can be explicitly constructed as $K_{\mathcal{O}} = K(j)$, where j denotes the j-invariant of an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{C}$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}$. The minimal polynomial of j over K is the *Hilbert class polynomial* $H_D(X)$; its degree is necessarily equal to the class number $h(D)$ and, remarkably, its coefficients lie in $\mathbb{Z}$ (not just in $\mathcal{O}_K$). Every root of $H_D(X)$ is the j-invariant of an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{C}$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}$, and every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{C}$ with CM by $\mathcal{O}$ arises in this way.

The Deuring lifting theorem [24, Theorems 13.12-14] implies that if p is a prime that splits completely in $K_{\mathcal{O}}$, equivalently, a prime satisfying the norm equation $4p = t^2 - v^2 D$ for some integers t and v, then this correspondence also holds over $\mathbb{F}_p$. The polynomial $H_D \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ then splits completely into linear factors over $\mathbb{F}_p$, and its roots are precisely the j-invariants of the elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ that have CM by $\mathcal{O}$, all of which have trace of Frobenius t and $p + 1 - t$ rational points. We note that not every curve with trace of Frobenius t has CM by $\mathcal{O}$, but every such curve has CM by an order that lies in the ring of integers of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, and this field is uniquely determined by p and t.

Thus given an integer t and a prime p for which $4p = t^2 - v^2 D$, we can construct an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$ by first computing the Hilbert class polynomial $H_D(X)$ and then finding

a root j of $H_D \bmod p$. The root j determines the $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$-isomorphism class of an elliptic curve E, and we can distinguish its $\mathbb{F}_p$ isomorphism class (and an explicit equation $E_{a,b}$) by checking which of the finite set of representatives $E_{a,b}$ with $j(E_{a,b}) = j$ has the desired trace of Frobenius t. This can be done by simply computing $p+1-\#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, but see [33] for a more efficient method.

This method of constructing elliptic curves $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ with a prescribed trace of Frobenius is known as the *CM method*. It's key limitation is that when $|D|$ is large it may be infeasible to explicitly compute $H_D(X)$; the degree of H_D is the class number $h(D)$, which is bounded by $O(|D|^{1/2}\log|D|)$, see [38], and the logarithm of the absolute value of its largest coefficient is bounded by $O(|D|^{1/2}(\log|D|)^2)$, see [41, Lemma 8]. Thus the total size of H_D is $O(|D|(\log|D|)^3)$ bits. Under the GRH one can improve the logarithmic factors in all of these bounds, but in any case the best bound we have on the total size of $H_D(X)$ is $|D|^{1+o(1)}$ bits, and one heuristically expects a lower bound of the same form. As a practical matter, the largest value of $|D|$ for which $H_D(X)$ has been explicitly computed is on the order of 10^{13}, see [41], although there are more sophisticated methods that have made it feasible to apply the CM method to discriminants with $|D|$ as large as 10^{16}; see [15, 42].

For the purposes of constructing a deterministic algorithm, we restrict ourselves to the complex analytic method of [14], which is not as fast as the probabilistic algorithms used to achieve these results, but is able to achieve a time complexity of $|D|^{1+o(1)}$ without relying on randomization (or assuming the GRH); see Lemma 14.

2.4. **An alternative approach.** We now sketch an alternative approach to constructing an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ divisible by m, using the CM method. We enumerate isogeny classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_p$ according to their trace of Frobenius t, and once we have found t such that $p+1-t$ is divisible by m, we may apply the CM method to construct an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ with trace t. The time to construct E with the CM method is $\widetilde{O}_p(|D|)$, where D is the discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t^2-4p})$. So long as m is not too large, there are many possible choices for t; in order to minimize the running time we want to choose t so that t^2-4p has a large square divisor, which makes $|D|$ smaller.

Thus we are faced with finding an integer $t \in [-2\sqrt{p},\ 2\sqrt{p}]$ such that $p+1-t \equiv 0 \pmod m$ and t^2-4p has a large square divisor v^2. Then the discriminant $D = \operatorname{disc}\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(t^2-4p)/v^2})$ is relatively small in absolute value, allowing the Hilbert class polynomial $H_D(X)$ to be computed more quickly than in the typical case. In order to construct

a curve in the isogeny class defined by t we also need to find a root of $H_D(X)$, which has degree $h(D) = \widetilde{O}_p(|D|^{1/2})$. This can be done in time $\widetilde{O}_p(p^{1/2} + h(D))$ using the deterministic algorithm of [4]; see Lemma 12.

If v is the largest square factor of $t^2 - 4p$, then the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(t^2-4p)/v^2})$ is either $D = (t^2-4p)/v^2$ or $D = 4(t^2-4p)/v^2$; the latter case occurs only when v is divisible by 2, so after removing a factor of 2 from v if necessary, we may assume $t^2 - 4p = v^2 D$. This implies $v \mid (t^2 - 4p)$, and for prime v this means that p must be a quadratic residue modulo v. So the algorithm starts by selecting an appropriate prime v. Since we require v to lie in a certain interval, this is precisely where the GRH comes into play.

We now present concrete technical details.

3. Some Background from Analytic Number Theory

3.1. **Bounds of character sums.** Let $\Lambda(v)$ denote the usual von Mangoldt function defined by

$$\Lambda(v) := \begin{cases} \log \ell & \text{if } v \text{ is a power of the prime } \ell, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \text{ is not a prime power.} \end{cases}$$

We start with the following bound on sums of Legendre symbols, which can be found in [30, Chapter 13]; see also [20, Corollary 5.29].

Lemma 4. *For any real $V \ge 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{v \le V} \left(1 - \frac{v}{V}\right) \Lambda(v) \left(\frac{p}{v}\right) = O(V^{1/2} \log p).$$

Note that the sum in Lemma 4 differs slightly from the traditional sum with the Legendre symbols (v/p). However, it is easy to see that (p/v) is multiplicative character modulo $4p$.

Corollary 5. *For any sufficiently large V there is a prime $v \in [V, 2V]$ with*

$$\left(\frac{p}{v}\right) = 1.$$

The following statement is well-known and follows immediately from the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality, see [20, Theorem 12.5].

Lemma 6. *Let $T > 2V \ge 1$ be sufficiently large real numbers. For all but $O(TV^{-1} \log V + V \log V)$ primes $p \in [T, 2T]$, there is a prime $v \in [V, 2V]$ with*

$$\left(\frac{p}{v}\right) = 1.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of primes $v \in [V, 2V]$ and let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of primes $p \in [T, 2T]$ such that

$$\left(\frac{p}{v}\right) \neq 1, \qquad v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ are disjoint. Hence,

$$\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{p}{v}\right) = -\#\mathcal{V},$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ So, for the double sum

$$W = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{p}{v}\right)$$

we have

$$W = -\#\mathcal{P}\#\mathcal{V}. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$|W| \le \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{p}{v}\right) \right|.$$

Using the Cauchy inequality and expanding the summation to all integers $k \in [T, 2T]$ we derive

$$|W|^2 \le \#\mathcal{P} \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{p}{v}\right) \right|^2 \le \#\mathcal{P} \sum_{k \in [T, 2T]} \left| \sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{k}{v}\right) \right|^2.$$

Now squaring out and changing the order of summations, we obtain

$$|W|^2 \le \#\mathcal{P} \sum_{v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}} \sum_{k \in [T, 2T]} \left(\frac{k}{v_1 v_2}\right).$$

Finally, estimating the inner sum trivially for $v_1 = v_2$ and using the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality for $v_1 \neq v_2$ (see [20, Theorem 12.5]), we derive

$$|W|^2 = O\left(\#\mathcal{P}\left(\#\mathcal{V}T + \#\mathcal{V}^2 V \log V\right)\right). \tag{3}$$

Comparing (2) and (3), and using the prime number theorem, we obtain the desired result. □

We note that by using the Burgess bound (see [20, Theorem 12.6]), in the proof of Lemma 6 one can obtain a series of other estimates.

3.2. **Smooth numbers.** We recall that a real $y > 1$, a positive integer n is said to be *y-smooth* if its prime divisors are all less then or equal to y. The *Dickman–de Bruijn function* $\rho(u)$ is defined recursively by

$$\rho(u) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le u \le 1, \\ 1 - \displaystyle\int_1^u \frac{\rho(v-1)}{v} dv & \text{if } u > 1. \end{cases}$$

As usual, we denote by $\psi(x, y)$ the number of y-smooth $n \le x$. We need the following classical asymptotic formula for $\psi(x, y)$, which can be found in [43, Chapter III.5, Corollary 9.3].

Lemma 7. *For real $x \ge y > 1$ we define*

$$u = \frac{\log x}{\log y}.$$

For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, for $1 \le u \le \exp\left((\log y)^{3/5-\varepsilon}\right)$ we have

$$\psi(x, y) \sim \rho(u)x$$

as $y \to \infty$.

3.3. **Arithmetic functions and smooth multiples in intervals.** Let $\tau(k)$ denote the number of positive divisors of an integer $k \ge 1$. We need a bound on the average value of the divisor function $\tau(k)$ in short intervals. In particular, we use the following special case of a much more general estimate of Shiu [35, Theorem 1]; further extensions are due to Nair and Tenenbaum [31].

Lemma 8. *For any fixed real $\varepsilon, \lambda > 0$, and sufficiently large real $z \ge w \ge z^{\varepsilon}$, we have*

$$\sum_{z \le k \le z+w} \tau(k)^{\lambda} = O\left(w(\log w)^{2^{\lambda}-1}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on ε and λ.

The following statement is one of the main ingredients of the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 9. *For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large real positive x, y and z with*

$$z^{1/2-\varepsilon} \ge x > z^{\varepsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad \exp((\log x)^{1-\varepsilon}) \ge y \ge \exp\left((\log\log x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\right),$$

define u by $y^u = x$. There are at least $z^{1/2}u^{-u+o(u)}(\log z)^{-3}$ integers $k \in [z, z + z^{1/2}]$ that have a y-smooth divisor $m \in [x, 2x]$ and for which $\tau(k) \le u^{u+o(u)}(\log z)^2$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of y-smooth integers $m \in [x, 2x]$. It follows from Lemma 7 and well known results on the growth of $\rho(u)$ (see [43, Section III.5.4]), that

$$\#\mathcal{M} = u^{-u+o(u)}x \tag{4}$$

as $u \to \infty$.

For each $m \in \mathcal{M}$ we consider the products $k = mr$ where r runs through $z^{1/2}/m + O(1)$ integers of the interval $[z/m, z/m + z^{1/2}/m]$. Let $\vartheta(k)$ be the number of such representations. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k\in[z,z+z^{1/2}]} \vartheta(k) &\ge \sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \left(z^{1/2}/m + O(1)\right)\\ &= (1+o(1))z^{1/2}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} 1/m \ge (1/2+o(1))z^{1/2}\#\mathcal{M}x^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (4), we derive

$$\sum_{k\in[z,z+z^{1/2}]} \vartheta(k) \ge z^{1/2}u^{-u+o(u)} \tag{5}$$

as $u \to \infty$.

On the other hand, since we obviously have $\vartheta(k) \le \tau(k)$, we obtain from Lemma 8 with $\lambda = 2$ the bound

$$\sum_{k\in[z,z+z^{1/2}]} \vartheta(k)^2 = O\left(z^{1/2}(\log z)^3\right). \tag{6}$$

Thus if $\mathcal{K}$ is the set of $k \in [z, z + z^{1/2}]$ with $\vartheta(k) > 0$, then by the Cauchy inequality we have

$$\left(\sum_{k\in[z,z+z^{1/2}]} \vartheta(k)\right)^2 \le \#\mathcal{K} \sum_{k\in[z,z+z^{1/2}]} \vartheta(k)^2.$$

Using (5) and (6), we then derive

$$\#\mathcal{K} \ge z^{1/2}u^{-u+o(u)}(\log z)^{-3}.$$

Now $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of $k \in [z, z + z^{1/2}]$ with $\tau(k) > u^{u+o(u)}(\log z)^3$. Using Lemma 8 with $\lambda = 2$ again, we obtain

$$\#\mathcal{E}\left(u^{u+o(u)}(\log z)^3\right)^2 = O\left(z^{1/2}(\log z)^3\right).$$

Hence

$$\#\mathcal{E} \le z^{1/2}u^{-2u+o(u)}(\log z)^{-3} = o(\#\mathcal{K}),$$

which concludes the proof. □

3.4. **Class numbers and the distribution of the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on elliptic curves.** We require a result of Lenstra that relates the number of elliptic curves $E_{a,b}/\mathbb{F}_p$ with trace of Frobenius t to the Hurwitz-Kronecker class number $H(t^2 - 4p)$; see [25, Proposition 1.9]. Here we formulate this result in a form convenient for our applications.

Lemma 10. *For any set of integers $\mathcal{S} \in [p-p^{1/2}, p+p^{1/2}]$ of cardinality $\#\mathcal{S} \geq 3$, we have*

$$\#\{(a,b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \ : \ \#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p) \in \mathcal{S}\} \gg \#\mathcal{S}p^{3/2}/\log p.$$

4. Some Background on Algorithms

4.1. **Finding smooth factors of integers.** The following is a simplified version of a result of Lenstra, Pila, and Pomerance [26, Theorem 1.1], which gives a slower but rigorous version of the *elliptic curve factorisation method* (ECM) of Lenstra [25].

Lemma 11. *There is a probabilistic algorithm that, given an integer n and a real number $y > 2$, finds all prime factors $\ell \leq y$ of n in expected time $\exp\left((\log y)^{2/3+o(1)}\right)(\log n)^{O(1)}$, as $y \to \infty$.*

4.2. **Finding roots of polynomials.** We also need the following factorisation algorithm from [4].

Lemma 12. *There is a deterministic algorithm that, given a squarefree polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$ of degree d that splits completely into linear factors in $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$, finds a root of f in $\widetilde{O}_p(d + p^{1/2})$ time.*

The algorithm of Lemma 12 improves that of Shoup [37] when d grows as a power of p, which is exactly the case we need.

4.3. **Counting $\mathbb{F}_q$-rational points on elliptic curves.** We recall the classical result of Schoof [34], which is quite sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 13. *There is a deterministic algorithm that, given an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_q$, outputs the cardinality $N = \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ in $(\log q)^{O(1)}$ time.*

4.4. **Computing Hilbert class polynomials.** Here, for computing Hilbert class polynomials deterministically, we rely on the complex analytic approach of Enge [14], which relies on floating point approximations of complex numbers, combined with a rigorous bound on the precision needed to control rounding errors due to Streng; see [40, Remark 1.1].

Lemma 14. *There is a deterministic algorithm that, given an imaginary quadratic discriminant D, outputs $H_D(x)$ in $|D|^{1+o(1)}$ time.*

5. Proofs of Main Results

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.** Let

$$y = \exp\left((\log p)^{3/5}\right).$$

We choose a pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$ uniformly at random, and if $4a^3+27b^2 \neq 0$, we compute the cardinality $N = \#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ time, via Lemma 13. We then use the probabilistic algorithm of Lemma 11 to find all the prime divisors $\ell \leq y$ of N in

$$T_1 = \exp\left((\log y)^{2/3+o(1)}\right)(\log p)^{O(1)}$$

expected time, and we can easily determine the largest power of each of the primes ℓ that divides N within the same time bound, using repeated divisions by ℓ.

One can check that for the above choice of y the conditions of Lemma 9 are satisfied with $x = M$ and $z = p$. Hence, by Lemmas 9 and 10, after an expected

$$T_2 = u^{u+o(u)}(\log p)^4 = \exp\left(u^{1+o(1)}\right)$$

random choices of pairs $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$, where

$$u = \frac{\log M}{\log y},$$

we find a pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ for which $N = \#E_{a,b}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has a y-smooth factor $m \in [M, 2M]$ and also has $\tau(k) \leq u^{u+o(u)}(\log p)^3$ integer divisors. By exhaustively checking every y-smooth divisor of N (constructed as products of powers of prime divisors $\ell \leq y$ of N), for any given N we can deterministically find such an m (or determine that none exists) in time

$$T_3 = u^{u+o(u)}(\log p)^{O(1)} = \exp\left(u^{1+o(1)}\right).$$

This leads to a total expected running time of

$$T_1T_2T_3 = \exp\left((\log y)^{2/3+o(1)} + u^{1+o(1)}\right)(\log p)^{O(1)}.$$

Recalling the choice of y, we conclude the proof. □

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.** We let $V = p^{1/4}/m^{1/2}$. Combining Corollary 5 with the deterministic primality test of [1], we see that in time $Vp^{o(1)}$ we can find a prime $v \in [V, 2V]$ for which p is a quadratic residue. Thus the congruence $4p \equiv x^2 \pmod{v}$ has a solution that can also be found in time $Vp^{o(1)}$ using brute force search. Via Hensel lifting, we can now find a solution s to the congruence

$$4p \equiv x^2 \pmod{v^2},$$

with $0 \leq s \leq v^2 - 1$, in time $Vp^{o(1)}$; see [18].

Any admissible value of t must satisfy the congruences

$$t \equiv s \pmod{v^2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad t \equiv p+1 \pmod{m}.$$

Using the Chinese remainder theorem, in time $p^{o(1)}$ we can find an integer a with $0 \le a \le mv^2 - 1$, such that the above system of congruences is equivalent to the single congruence $t \equiv a \pmod{mv^2}$. Since $mv^2 \le 4mV^2 = 4p^{1/2}$, there is a $t \in [-2p^{1/2}, 2p^{1/2}]$ that satisfies this congruence (either a or $a - mv^2$ must lie in the desired interval).

We now bound the complexity of constructing an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - t$ for our chosen value of t.

Let us write $t^2 - 4p = u^2 D$, for an integer u and a fundamental discriminant $D < 0$. Then $u \ge v \ge V$, and therefore $|D| \le 4p/V^2$. By Lemma 14 we can construct Hilbert class polynomial $H_D(x)$ of degree

$$h(D) = \widetilde{O}_p(|D|^{1/2}) = \widetilde{O}_p(m^{1/2}p^{1/4})$$

in time $\widetilde{O}_p(|D|) = \widetilde{O}_p(mp^{1/2})$. Theorem 2 now follows from Lemma 12. □

5.3. **Proof of Theorem 3.** We set $V = T^{1/4}/m^{1/2}$ and discard

$$O(TV^{-1}\log V + V \log V) = O(mT^{1/2}\log T)$$

primes, as described in Lemma 6.

After this the proof is identical to that of Theorem 2. □

6. Comments

We may also consider a heuristic version of Theorem 1 which uses the elliptic curve factorisation method of Lenstra [25]. This leads to the heuristic complexity bound

$$\exp\left((\log y)^{1/2+o(1)}\right) u^{2u+o(u)} (\log p)^{O(1)} = \exp\left((\log y)^{1/2+o(1)} + u^{1+o(1)}\right) (\log p)^{O(1)}.$$

which after the choice

$$y = \exp((\log p)^{2/3}))$$

leads to roughly the same expected running time $\exp\left((\log p)^{1/3+o(1)}\right)$ as the *number field sieve*, the heuristically fastest integer factorisation algorithm; see [11] for more details.

As an analog of Theorem 1, one can also consider the case where the integer m is fixed and the prime p is allowed to vary over an interval $[P, 2P]$, for some real $P > m^{1+\varepsilon}$ and a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. If we pick a multiple N of m that lies in the interval

$$[P + 1 + 2\sqrt{P},\ 2P + 1 - 2\sqrt{2P}],$$

we can apply the algorithm of Bröker and Stevenhagen [6] to construct an elliptic curve $E/\mathbb{F}_p$ for which $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = N$ is a multiple of m; the bounds on N ensure that $p \in [P, 2P]$. The heuristic expected running time of this probablistic algorithm is $(2^{\omega(N)} \log N)^{O(1)}$, where $\omega(N)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of N. We have a fair amount of freedom in the choice of N and can easily choose N so that we have $\omega(N) = \omega(m) + 1$; this allows us to write the time bound as $(2^{\omega(m)} \log P)^{O(1)}$. For almost all integers m we have $\omega(m) = O(\log \log P)$, in which case we obtain a heuristic polynomial-time algorithm.

The algorithms of Theorems 2 and 3 can easily be extended to produce elliptic curves E with $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in a given residue class modulo m. We now present several facts that shed some light on the frequency of pairs (m, p) with $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$.

For any fixed m this is essentially a result about the distribution of primes in arithmetic progressions. In particular, the standard proof of Linnik's theorem on the smallest prime in an arithmetic progression implies that there is an absolute constant $K > 0$ such that for any integer $m \geq 2$, for all $T \geq m^K$ there exists a prime $p \in [T, 2T]$ in any admissible residue class modulo m; see [20, Theorem 18.6]. It would be interesting to see what the currently strongest approaches to estimates of the *Linnink constant* L of Heath-Brown [19] (with $L \leq 5.5$), and of T. Xylouris [44] (with $L \leq 5.18$), give for the above constant K.

We also note that, by a result of Mikawa [29], for any sufficiently large M, for all but $o(M)$ integers $m \in [M, 2M]$, for any $K > 32/17$ and $T > M^K$ there exists a prime $p \in [T, 2T]$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ (and also with $p \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$). The classical Bombieri-Vinogradov Theorem [20, Theorem 17.1] gives similar results for $K > 2$.

Finally, we note that several results of Ford [16] can also provide some information on the existence and distribution of pairs (m, p) with $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$. For example, a combination of [16, Corollary 2] and [16, Theorem 6] implies that as both M and T/M tend to infinity, there are only $o(T/\log T)$ primes $p \in [T, 2T]$ such that $p - 1$ has a divisor $m \in [M, 2M]$. On the other hand, by a slight modification of [16, Theorem 7], for any $\beta > \alpha > 0$, there are at least $cT/\log T$ primes $p \in [T, 2T]$ such that $p - 1$ has a divisor $m \in [T^\alpha, T^\beta]$.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Jung-Hee Cheon for very useful comments. During the preparation of this paper I. E. Shparlinski was

supported in part by ARC grant DP130100237 and A. V. Sutherland received financial support from NSF grant DMS-1115455.

References

[1] M. Agrawal, N. Kayal, and N. Saxena, 'PRIMES is in P', *Ann. Math.*, **160** (2004), 781–793.

[2] N. Anbar and M. Giulietti, 'Bicovering arcs and small complete caps from elliptic curves', *J. Algebr. Comb.* **38** (2013), 371–392.

[3] R. Avanzi, H. Cohen, C. Doche, G. Frey, T. Lange, K. Nguyen and F. Vercauteren, *Elliptic and hyperelliptic curve cryptography: Theory and practice*, CRC Press, 2005.

[4] J. Bourgain, S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Character sums and deterministic polynomial root finding in finite fields', *Math. Comp.*, (to appear).

[5] B. W. Brewer, 'On certain character sums', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **99** (1961), 241–245.

[6] R. Bröker and P. Stevenhagen, 'Efficient CM-constructions of elliptic curves over finite fields', *Math. Comp.* **76** (2007), 2161–2179.

[7] D. A. Burgess, 'The distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues', *Mathematika*, **4** (1957), 106–112.

[8] J.-H. Cheon, 'Discrete logarithm problems with auxiliary inputs', *J. Cryptology*, **23** (2010), 457–476.

[9] J.-H. Cheon and T. Kim, , 'Discrete Logarithm with auxiliary inputs', *Proc. MSJ-KMS Joint Meeting, Kyushu, 2012*, (to appear).

[10] D. A. Cox, 'Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, class field theory, and complex multiplication', 2nd ed., Wiley, 2013.

[11] R. Crandall and C. Pomerance, *Prime numbers: A computational perspective*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[12] L. E. Dickson, 'The analytic representation of substitutions on a power of a prime number of letters with a discussion of the linear group I', *Ann. Math.*, **11** (1897), 65–120.

[13] L. E. Dickson, 'The analytic representation of substitutions on a power of a prime number of letters with a discussion of the linear group II', *Ann. Math.*, **11** (1897), 161–183.

[14] A. Enge, 'The complexity of class polynomial computation via floating point approximations', *Math. Comp.*, **78**, (2009) 1089–1107.

[15] A. Enge and A. V. Sutherland, 'Class invariants by the CRT method', *Algorithmic Number Theory 9th International Symposium (ANTS IX)*, LNCS **6197**, Springer, 2010, 142–156.

[16] K. Ford, 'The distribution of integers with a divisor in a given interval', *Annals Math.*, **168** (2008), 367–433.

[17] S. Galbraith, *Mathematics of public key cryptography*, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[18] J. von zur Gathen and J. Gerhard, *Modern computer algebra*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, 2013.

[19] D. R. Heath-Brown, 'Zero-free regions for Dirichlet L-functions, and the least prime in an arithmetic progression', *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **64** (1992), 265–338.

[20] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
[21] M. Kim, *Discrete logarithm problem with auxiliary inputs*, Thesis, Seoul National Univ., 2014.
[22] M. Kim, J.-H. Cheon and I.-S. Lee, 'Analysis on a generalized algorithm for the strong discrete logarithm problem with auxiliary inputs', *Math. Comp*, (to appear).
[23] N. Koblitz, A. Menezes and I. E. Shparlinski, 'Discrete logarithms, Diffie-Hellman, and reductions', *Vietnam J. Math.*, **39** (2011), 267–285.
[24] S. Lang, 'Elliptic function', 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1987.
[25] H. W. Lenstra, 'Factoring integers with elliptic curves', *Ann. Math.*, **126** (1987), 649–673.
[26] H. W. Lenstra, J. Pila and C. Pomerance, 'A hyperelliptic smoothness test, I', *Phil. Trans. Royla. Soc. Lond.* **345** (1993), 397–408.
[27] H. W. Lenstra, J. Pila and C. Pomerance, 'A hyperelliptic smoothness test, II', *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **84** (2002), 105–146.
[28] H. W. Lenstra and C. Pomerance, 'A rigorous time bound for factoring integers', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1992), 483–516.
[29] H. Mikawa, 'On primes in arithmetic progressions', *Tsukuba J. Math.* **25** (2001), 121–153.
[30] H. L. Montgomery, *Topics in multiplicative number theory*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 227, Spinger-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
[31] M. Nair and G. Tenenbaum, 'Short sums of certain arithmetic functions, *Acta Math.*, **180** (1998) 119–144.
[32] C. Pomerance, Fast, rigorous factorization and discrete logarithm algorithms', *Discrete Algorithms and Complexity*, Academic Press, 1987, 119–143.
[33] K. Rubin and A. Silverberg, 'Choosing the correct elliptic curve in the CM method', *Math. Comp.* **79** (2010), 545–561.
[34] R. Schoof, 'Elliptic curves over finite fields and the computation of square roots mod p', *Math. Comp.* **170** (1995), 483–494.
[35] P. Shiu, 'A Brun–Titchmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions', *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **313** (1980), 161–170.
[36] I. E. Shparlinski and A. V. Sutherland, 'On the distribution of Atkin and Elkies primes', *Found. Comp. Math.*, **14** (2014), 285–297.
[37] V. Shoup, 'On the determenistic complexity of factoring polynomials over finite fields', *Inform. Proc. Letters*, **33** (1990), 261–267.
[38] I. Schur, 'Einige Bermerkungen zu der vorstehenden Arbeit des Herrn G. Polya: Über die Verteilung der quadratischen Reste und Nichtreste', *Nachr. Kon, Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-phys. Kl* (1918), 30–36, in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, vol II, 239–245, Springer, 1973.
[39] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, 2nd ed., Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
[40] M. Streng, 'Computing Igusa class polynomials', *Math. Comp.*, **83** (2014), 275–309.
[41] A. V. Sutherland, 'Computing Hilbert class polynomials with the Chinese Remainder Theorem', *Math. Comp.*, **80** (2011), 501–538.

[42] A. V. Sutherland, 'Accelerating the CM method', *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **15** (2012), 172–204.
[43] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
[44] T. Xylouris, 'On the least prime in an arithmetic progression and estimates for the zeros of Dirichlet L-functions', *Acta Arith.* , **150** (2011), 65–91.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia
E-mail address: igor.shparlinski@unsw.edu.au

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
E-mail address: drew@math.mit.edu